# 1AR---DRR---R2

## Militancy

### Growth---1AR

Growth solves nuclear war. It sustains heg, prevents resource wars, AND staves off extremism. Else, Taiwan, the SCS, and North Korea escalate. That’s Jiang and Weidokal.

#### Its net offense against the alt.

#### Hegemony link is carded. Dropped the 2AC argument that it’s a da to the alt even IF they win they could be better for growth in the abstract transition spooks adversaries and allies. We do have a card on this! They conceded 1AC jiang that specifically says growth is key to a managed transition.

#### Transition causes China to grab Taiwan.

Michael McFaul 24. Professor of political science at Stanford University. "Americans Do Not Want or Need a Revolution in our National Security State." McFaul's World. 12/6/2024. michaelmcfaul.substack.com/p/americans-do-not-want-or-need-a-revolution

Third, and most importantly, the United States today cannot afford to wage a civil war against alleged enemies from within. We have real national security threats to address from abroad, including, first and foremost, China, but also Russia, Iran, and North Korea. Launching a four-year revolutionary assault on our national security institutions, such as the Pentagon and the CIA, is exactly what our adversaries want. We will be distracted. We will become weaker. There could not be a greater gift to Beijing or Moscow.

## Compelled Speech

### No Precedent---1AR

#### Concede precedent is fake and that radicalization are inev. They said alt doesn’t intervene means they cant solve cuz targeting outside workers.

## Distinguish

#### Add on dropped. Conceded unions solve democracy and that only bargaining remedies a slide into autocracy that applies ACROSS the political economy. Conceded that causes extinction which is belfield. It’s an add on both to heg impact and the That’s Vazquez. Block said exactly zero words about it. X applying alt would be new and you shouldn’t let them since they said they repudiate the worker relationship while our vazxeuqz evidence says that the alt links to

## K

### Sustainable---1AR

#### Sustainability is terminal defense against try or die. Conceded cap is self regulating which deters worst effects and at worst defense to all their scenarios.

#### Markets can calculate social cost. Friedman says it prices externalities.

#### Non-economic pricing proves no functional competition BUT need economic inputs. Dropped coordination problem.

#### Warming impact is wrong. Green cap solves dropped innovation warrant from Friedman.

#### Kemp is a EA hack with zero quals. Friedman cites ‘experts’ in climate science which answers their argument.

#### War thing is wrong. Definitionally not escalation.

#### Our argument is not trade good but rather that growth and escalation are key.

#### Socialist growth card is a random Jacobin guy with zero quals.

#### We struck the worker control thing.

### Worker Control---1AR

Worker control nukes growth. Framing issue: the more Kansas tries to say that the alt includes ‘managers’ with exclusive decision rights the more they link to their deficits AND erode and reason why alt would compete.

But, this doesn’t solve. Workers won’t select the managers who are most qualified to call the shots since they don’t have expertise to know who would make a good leader. They’d vote for people that give them easy jobs instead of a manager that maximizes efficiency. That’s nickerson and foss

No turns case. Rahnema doesn’t assume unions. Successful union organizing radicalized workers and makes them militant. This card isn’t about growth, so it doesn’t apply

### AT: Conflict---1AR

Employers solve conflict. They have decision rights that prevent disagreement from impacting productivity. That’s Nickerson.

### Transition Wars---1AR

The alt sucucmbs to transition wars. Conservatives, police, and corporations would retaliate and take up arms against het alt, ensurign extinction. That’s Levitz.

It doesn’t link abck to us. The aff alone doesn’t cause socialism AND the solvency mechanism of union militancy means that workers wouldn’t want to fight it.

Durable fiat doesn’t solve private and nonfederal actors like corporations, state police, and veterans. It’s also unfair to fiat through a literal war since that’s object fiat.

The alt doesn’t solve mindsets. It assumes people going to work, but people backlash immediately instead of joining a cooperative.

### Framework---1AR

Weigh the case versus unique links and textually and functionally competitive alt. Their interp unfairly moots the perm through any-percent-risk of a link AND justifies:

1. Private actor fiat. It’s impossible to negate “pretend nice friendly workers run everything.”

2. Process counterplans that compete philosophically off value statements about certainty, immediacy, and agent.

Fairness outweighs. Flowing proves they rely on it AND competition turns their offense by creating incentives to engage anti-capitalist literature.

#### Perf con justifies us severing our assumptions and ideology which solves their offense.

#### Competition stnadard was in the 2AC.

#### Assumptions and ideology is arbitrary.

#### Conserquentialism arguments are silly. It’s least arbitrary ehtic, they rely on it for value judgementsd and our interp+perm are the only consequential benefits.

### AT: Anti-Capitalism---1AR

No ‘ideology:

1. Laughable. They fiated through every deficit and learned nothing about organizing, transition, or governance.

2. Link turn. Plan-focused links emphasize materiality, which prevents the alternative from stalling out in academia.

### AT: Solves Fairness---1AR

They don’t solve fairness:

#### Yes warrant dropped prereq and they cause infinite prior questions. No aff choice since perms are key, not just the case---above.

Neg ground Cap K being core isn’t relevant since links are infinite AND because their model justifies unpredictable Ks.

#### Ballot intrinsicness is wrong. Onlt solsve fairness.

### Perm: Do Both---1AR

#### Perm: do both. If the alt can overcome Trump, billionaires, and other countries, then the aff is a drop in the bucket. Concede logic impact from condo which justifies intrinsicness and severance since competition for the alt is artifical

#### The vieta card presupposes that the

#### The alt fiating a transition.

Alt not mutually exclusive. 2NC CX still incoprorates the terms and conditions of employment. Banning the plan is new articulation, justifying perm: do the AFF and the alt in all other instances.

It’s also not severance:

[x]. Dropped should is advisory on the [Process CP] in the 2AC.

[x]. Their definition is irrelevant since the alt changes [the employment relationship] and the perm includes that action.

#### Managers have a say in the world of the alt.

#### And we include contract negotiations

#### Don’t prefer defs

#### T strengthen pre existing

#### No bargaining link. Links aren’t DAs since they assume the plan alone, not the perm. That’s Nolan. It can be itnerfered with since policies would empower status quo unions within the labor regime which is bad. It’s We solve it bc it channels discontent into multunionism which leakens capitalism.

#### Breznik is wrong.

#### Its an aff perm card since it says that enterprise alone defines the employment relationship wich the alt maintains.

#### Its not market socialism. You can have a right to something even if its not enacted, which means we incorporate their fundamental shift in the direction of the economy which ends the profit motive.

#### Yes net benefit. Competition is yes no either way.

#### Concede no fiat mindsets.

#### A function of the alt includes function planning.

#### We don’t linkl

### --AT: CBR = Employment---1AR

Group their definitions:

#### ‘CBR’ doesn’t require employment.

Alan Bogg 25. Professor of labor law at the University of Bristol. "Platform Work and Freedom of Association: Employment Relationship Is Not a Necessary Threshold for Collective Bargaining Rights in the ILO." Brill. 10-27-2025. brill.com/view/journals/ilrc/11/3/article-p296\_003.xml

Analysis

In an important decision, the CFA found that law and practice on the collective bargaining rights of the self-employed as applied in Deliveroo was not consistent with ILO standards. In so doing, it reaffirmed several fundamental principles.

The first was that an employment relationship is not a necessary threshold for collective bargaining rights under ILO standards. The litigation in Deliveroo had focused on the employment relationship because it has been treated as a threshold for trade union rights under Article 11. The CFA recalled its long-standing position that there is a wider basis for entitlement:

The Committee wishes to recall that it has considered that the existence of an employment relationship should not be a requirement for the right to enjoy freedom of association rights. In this respect, it considered that by virtue of the principles of freedom of association, all workers—with the sole exception of members of the armed forces and the police—should have the right to establish and join organizations of their own choosing.

Framework proves this K auto-compete is unfair. Functional limits like states solve their offense.

#### Rights aren’t absolute.

William Conely 12. Judge on the District Court for the Western District of Wisconsin. Wis. Educ. Ass'n Council v. Walker, 824 F. Supp. 2d 856. 2012. Lexis

Defendants criticize plaintiffs' use of the term "rights" as in the phrase "collective bargaining rights," implying that plaintiffs' use is intended to suggest an inalienable, or at least constitutional, right to collectively bargain. (Defs.' Br. in Supp. of Mot. for J. on Pleadings (dkt. #76) 7.) At least in a legal context, however, the term "rights" need not be so fundamental. Thus, courts [\*\*19] often refer to rights derived from other sources. See, e.g., Alabama v. North Carolina, 130 S. Ct. 2295, 2316, 176 L. Ed. 2d 1070 (2010) (describing "statutory and contractual rights"). Since collective bargaining rights for state and local public employees are a creature of state statute, a fact that neither plaintiffs nor defendants dispute, defendants' criticism is, at best, a linguistic red herring.

### --AT: CBR = Pre-Existing---1AR

The perm doesn’t sever pre-existence. The alt changes the nature of the economy and the perm provides rights within that now pre-existing framework.

#### ‘Strengthen[ing] CBR’ includes the creation of new frameworks.

Kota Kitagawa & Arata Uemura 13. M.A. Candidate at Kyoto University. J.D. Candidate at Kyoto University. “General statutory minimum wage debate in Germany: Degrees of political intervention in collective bargaining autonomy.” *The Kyoto Economic Review*, Vol. 82, No. 1/2, pp. 59-91. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24898512

Moreover, outside the focus of this article—namely, the process of con flict and compromise, until the 2013 coalitional agreement—the legislative bill containing general minimum-wage law was considered an act that would "strengthen the collective bargaining autonomy." Concrete definitions of the term "strengthen" mainly connote the creation of a framework of collective bargaining that would set the general minimum wage and abolish the requirement of "50%" of AVE in TVG.15 In this act that would strengthen collective bargaining autonomy, the state should not be seen as a "decision-making entity" that would prejudice autonomy (Yamamoto, 2014, p. 37),36 but rather a "capacitating framework" that would enable employers and employees to revamp a loose-bottomed system of industrial relations. Therefore, we consider the act a revamped legal foundation with respect to autonomy.

#### ‘Rights’ agrees.

John D. Inazu 14. Associate Professor of Law and Political Science, Washington University. “More Is More: Strengthening Free Exercise, Speech, and Association.” https://openscholarship.wustl.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1622&context=law\_scholarship

Expanding the coverage of the right of association would also make the doctrinal test clearer and less subject to manipulation. The current intimacy and expressiveness determinations introduce countless subjective and ideologically charged judgments—the kinds of inquiries most suspect under the First Amendment.26 Rights expansion might lead to greater public confidence in the right of association. Or, at the very least, there is nothing to suggest that rights expansion is the wrong approach to strengthening that right.

Their interp excludes core AFF ground like federal, gig, and ag workers. Functional limits solve.

### Aff Turns---1AR

Exclusive representation turns alt solvency:

Mainstream unions sell out and ally with capital absent the AFF. That makes transition impossible: they crack down on the alt AND sap worker militancy, preventing the widespread social support necessary to establish socialist regulation. That’s Richman and Jones.

#### Exclusive representation segmentizes class struggle, making worker buy-in impossible.

Shaun Richman et al. 18. Organizing director at the American Federation of Teachers. Kate Bronfenbrenner, director of labor education research at Cornell University. Chris Brooks, staff writer and organizer with Labor Notes. "After Janus, Should Unions Abandon Exclusive Representation?" In These Times. 5-25-2018. inthesetimes.com/article/janus-unions-exclusive-representation-labor-right-to-work-supreme-court

Richman: The approach to labor law reform has been too much about trying to preserve the system. The opportunity of the moment is to think beyond the boundaries of the workplace. Enterprise level bargaining has been killing us since the 1970s. As long as union membership is tied to whether or not some group of workers voted to form a union sometime in the past within the four walls of your workplace, that just incentivizes the offshoring and contracting out that’s really what has decimated the labor movement.

Humpty Dumpty is sitting on the wall and if Neil Gorsuch and John Roberts kick him off I am not particularly interested in being one of the king’s horses and men trying to put him together again. At that point the system is fundamentally broken and we need new demands about what kind of system we want and new strategies about how we exploit the brokenness of the system to make them regret what they have done.

Exclusive representation — combined with agency fee and DFR — worked for a long time. But if you knock one piece out, it all falls apart. We shouldn’t be pining for bygone days. We need to be thinking forward about what opportunities this creates. I hope that some people get inspired to try something as crazy as the IWW saying fuck it, we’re going to organize in different workplaces and agitate for work slowdowns and try to gain a few members in a few places we don’t care about expenditures of resources and dues. We’re going to create some chaos.

Brooks: I share Kate’s concerns, I believe that many unions have devolved into highly legalistic organizations. So the solutions they are pursuing to our current problems are highly technical and legal in nature, which means that lobbying and electing Democrats often becomes their top priority. Laws are important, but unions should spend far more time and resources on organizing comprehensive campaigns that build support among large majorities of workers, winning them over to a plan for collective action that can change conditions on the job and in the community.

Instead of this kind of organizing, what we’ve seen over the past few decades is the increasing confinement of class struggle to smaller and smaller segments of workers. Few unions these days aim to represent all workers in an industry. How many unions are engaged in pattern bargaining and setting contract standards across an industry or openly organizing toward a master agreement? To your point, Shaun, unions have become limited to firm-level representation. Or even just a bargaining unit within a firm, since many do not even try to organize everyone who works for the same employer.

### Worker Control---1AR

Worker control nukes growth. Bosses are key to mediate disagreements about working conditions, labor allocation, and inefficiencies resulting from info and expertise asymmetries. That’s Nickerson. Not every employee wants autonomy and Zappos prove that workers respond by quiet quitting. That’s Foss.